

# Ruby Chip — high quality Crystal Digital PCR<sup>™</sup> consumable prevents PCR cross-contamination to ensure accurate quantification of nucleic acids with the naica<sup>®</sup> system

# **INTRODUCTION**

DNA polymerase and PCR assays are highly susceptible to contamination. Many PCR applications operate multiple samples on the same consumable. Thus, contamination can be carried through across DNA sequence copies. Good laboratory practice (GLP) in combination with smart PCR consumable design helps to reduce the risk for any sample cross-contamination, which is important for high sensitivity applications, such as digital PCR.

General GLP recommends protecting the reaction mix from genomic DNA and reducing the risk of cross-contamination from the amplicon/template and previous reactions. This Technical Note demonstrates that the naica<sup>®</sup> system chip consumable, Ruby Chip, by design prevents the Crystal Digital PCR<sup>™</sup> experiment from having any intra-run and inter-run cross-contamination, providing high quality quantification results on the naica<sup>®</sup> system.

## MATERIAL & METHODS

### **Materials**

Crystal Digital PCR<sup>™</sup> tests have been performed using the Ruby Chip with the naica® system instruments: Geode for the Droplet Crystal partitioning and PCR amplification; 3-color naica® system (Prism3) for the scan of 3-plex assay; and 6-color naica® system (Prism6) for the scan of 6-plex assay (Table 1). Positive control samples and NTC (No Template Control) samples were altered within the same Ruby Chip for the control of intra-run contamination, and between run for the control of inter-run contamination. The intra-run contamination studies were performed with an internal 3-plex and 6-plex assay while the inter-run contamination studies were performed only with the 3-plex assay. Intra-run contamination corresponds to the contamination of a chamber by the sample present in a neighboring chamber, while inter-run contamination corresponds to contamination generated by samples that have been processed in previous experiments in the same Geode instrument for partitioning and amplification. A detailed description of the two assays is depicted in Table 1.

### Methods

To evaluate the results, the concentration obtained for the NTC chambers were directly compared to the specific LoB (Limit of Blank) obtained for these assays. Stable LoB values across all samples are the expected result for the study in order to demonstrate that there is no occurrence of cross-contamination. Elevated levels of LoB are considered an indicator for contamination within the experiment. The LoB value of these internal assays with the Ruby Chip were determined using an adapted version of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) EP17-A2 standard (Protocols for Determination of Limits of Detection and Limits of Quantitation; Approved Guideline). The complete procedure to determine the LoB using the naica® system is described in a specific technical note: "How to characterize the Limit of Blank and the Limit of Detection in Crystal Digital PCR™". According to the EP17 standard the LoB value for a given target is defined as the 95th percentile highest concentration when processing at least 30 replicate reactions in which the target template is excluded (blank samples).

As the LoB value is defined as the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile concentration, the percentage of NTC chambers above the LoB should be less than 5% during the cross-contamination studies when at least 30 NTC chambers are processed.

## Assay characterization

The targets, their concentrations for the positive samples, and the reference value for LoB are described in Table 1.

nternal test assays	Target	Channel	Concentration of positive samples (cp/µL)	Reference value for Lo (cp/µL)
	BRAF*	Blue	690	0.33
3-plex assay	pUC18 MCS L1**	Green	10360	0
	ALB*	Red	690	0
	Phage Lambda**	Blue	830	0
	Phix 174**	Teal	570	0
	pUC18 MCS L1**	Green	8430	0.12
6-plex assay	pBR322**	Yellow	1170	0
	Enterobacter cloacae**	Red	575	0
	ALB*	Infra-Red	615	0

Table 1 | Targets concentrations and reference LoB value of internal test assays.

\*Human genomic DNA fragmented with endonuclease. \*\*Linearized plasmid.

## **RESULTS**

### Intra-run contamination studies

To evaluate intra-run contamination, positive and NTC samples were alternated within the same chips. The tests were performed with the 3-plex assay (2 x 3 chips) and the 6-plex assay (3 chips) according to the Ruby Chip loading plan described in **Figure 1**.

The percentage of NTC chambers with concentration above the reference LoB value obtained for the 3-plex assay and the 6-plex assay are depicted, respectively, in **Tables 2 and 3**. For both assays, less than 5% of the NTC chambers showed a higher concentration than the reference LoB value. No intra-run contamination was thus observed during this study.

3-plex/Prism3 or 6-plex/Prism6								
Sample +	NTC		NTC	Sample +		Sample +	NTC	
NTC	Sample +		Sample+	NTC		NTC	Sample +	
Sample +	NTC		NTC	Sample +		Sample +	NTC	
NTC	Sample+		Sample +	NTC		NTC	Sample +	
Sample +	NTC		NTC	Sample +		Sample +	NTC	
NTC	Sample +		Sample +	NTC		NTC	Sample +	
Sample +	NTC		NTC	Sample +		Sample +	NTC	
NTC	Sample +		Sample +	NTC		NTC	Sample +	

Figure 1 | Ruby Chip loading plan.

The Droplet Crystal images of chambers with concentration above the LoB are shown in **Appendix 1/Figure 2**.

Table 2 | Quantification of NTC samples obtained for the 3-plex assay (evaluated on 48 NTC chambers).

Blue channel (BRAF)		Green o (pUC 18	channel MCS L1)	Red channel (ALB)		
% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	
2.1%*	0.52	0%	0	0%	0	

\*2.1 % corresponds to 1/48 chambers with concentration above the LoB.

Table 3 | Quantification of NTC samples obtained for the 6-plex assay (evaluated on 24 NTC chambers).

Blue channel (Phage Lambda)		Teal c (Phi)	hannel ( 174)	Green channel (pUC 18 MCS L1)		
% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	
4.2%*	0,29	4.2%* 0.29		0%	0	
Yellow channel (pBR 322)		Red channel (Enterobacter cloacae)		Infra-red channel (ALB)		
% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	
0%	0,29	4.2%*	0.29	0%	0	

\*4.2 % corresponds to 1/24 chambers with concentration above the LoB.

## Inter-run biological contamination studies

To evaluate inter-run biological contamination, two Crystal Digital PCR<sup>™</sup> runs were performed with positive samples followed by four runs with NTC samples. The tests were performed with the internal 3-plex assay using the same instruments to evaluate a potential contamination (3-color naica<sup>®</sup> system / Geode and Prism3 instruments).

The results of the first NTC run were analyzed first, as this run is performed just after processing the positive samples and could potentially be more affected by an inter-run biological contamination. Then, the results for the three following runs were analyzed and pooled with the first NTC run. The percentage of chambers above the LoB are depicted in **Table 4**. For the first run of NTC chambers, less than 5% of the NTC chambers showed a higher concentration than the LoB. This result demonstrated that no biological contamination occurred between the two runs of positive samples and the next NTC run.

When analyzing the results obtained from the four pooled NTC runs, less than 5% of NTC chambers showed a concentration higher than the LoB values in the green and the red channel

while the percentage of chambers with a concentration higher than the LoB in the blue channel was just above the 5% target (6.3%). Nevertheless, the concentrations found during these NTC runs were lower than the LoB max (which consider the Poisson distribution error) suggesting that no contamination occurred during these experiments.

The Droplet Crystal images of NTC chambers with the highest concentrations are shown in **Appendix 2/Figure 3**.

## CONCLUSION

Digital PCR is applied to detect targets at very low abundances and thus is known as a high-sensitive application. The control of cross-contamination is one of the critical steps for accurate and reliable results. This Technical Note demonstrates the prevention of intra- & inter-run cross-contamination by design of the naica® system chip consumable, Ruby Chip. The results demonstrate no intra-run contamination and no inter-run contamination occurred during these experiments, as no chamber showed a higher concentration than the limit of blank (LoB) maximum.

	Blue chan	nel (BRAF)	Green channel (	pUC 18 MCS L1)	Red channel (ALB)		
NTC run	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	% NTC > LoB	MAX NTC conc. (cp/µl)	
Run 1 (48 chambers)	4.1%*	0.60	0%	0	0%	0	
Run 1-4 pooled (192 chambers)	6.3%**	0.61	2.1%**	0.33	2.6%	0.33**	

Table 4 | NTC samples quantification obtained for the validation 3-plex assay.

\*4.1 % corresponds to 2/48 chambers with concentration above the LoB.

\*\*6.3%, 2.1% and 2.6% corresponds respectively to 12/192, 4/192 and 5/192 chambers with concentration above the LoB.



#### Appendix 1: Droplet Crystal images from the intra-run contamination study





3-plex/Prism3, Chamber C3, Blue channel 2 positive droplets



6-plex/Prism6, Chamber E3, Teal channel 1 positive droplet



6-plex/Prism6, Chamber F1, Blue channel 1 positive droplet



6-plex/Prism6,, Chamber E3, Red channel 1 positive droplet

Figure 2 | Droplet Crystal images of NTC chambers with concentration above the LoB.

#### Appendix 2: Droplet Crystal images from the inter-run contamination study



Run 4, Chamber E4, Blue channel 2 positive droplets (0.61 cp/µL)

🛞 STILLA"



Run 2, Chamber A4, Blue channel 1 positive droplet (0.33 cp/µL)



Run 4, Chamber H6, Red channel 1 positive droplet (0.33 cp/µL)

Figure 3 | Droplet Crystal images of NTC chambers with the highest concentrations.

STILLA TECHNOLOGIES info@stillatechnologies.com

To learn more about digital PCR, please visit Stilla Technologies' Learning Center at **stillatechnologies.com/digital-pcr** 

MKT-00145 Rev. A